

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/092,482	03/08/2002	Oliver C. Moghissi	108689	1283
25944 75	11/21/2003		EXAMINER	
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC			RAEVIS, ROBERT R	
P.O. BOX 1992 ALEXANDRIA	=		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
	,		2856	
		,	DATE MAILED: 11/21/2003	3

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		J. J.	1_
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	. <del>Z</del> .
	10/092,482	MOGHISSI ET AL.	
Offic Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
<b>►</b> .	Robert R. Raevis	2856	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	p ars on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address	
Peri d for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replective in the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut.  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	.136(a). In no event, however, may oly within the statutory minimum of I will apply and will expire SIX (6) N te, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely. ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·		
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ T	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	vance except for formal r r <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935	natters, prosecution as to the merits is C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims	'n		
4) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the applicatio			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn nom consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement		
Application Papers	or election requirement.		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		J disapproved by the Examiner.	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in real 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	Adminor.		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 H.S.(	C 8 119(a)-(d) or (f)	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	in phoney under 55 5.5.	5. 3 115(a) -(a) 61 (i).	
1. Certified copies of the priority documen	nts have been received		
2. Certified copies of the priority document		Application No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price application from the International Beautiful * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ority documents have be ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)	en received in this National Stage ).	
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes			າ).
a) The translation of the foreign language pr	rovisional application has	been received.	7
Attachment(s)	alo priority under 30 U.S.	0. 33 120 dila/01 121.	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice	ew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Application/Control Number: 10/092,482

Art Unit: 2856

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

As to claim 1, what does "coating disbondment geometry" mean? In particular, does "disbondment" mean/imply some level of a bond that has been undone? If so, a "bond" between what two elements? It was noted that page 10 (lines 8-9) infers that the disbondment area may be either a "fully" (p. 10, line 8) covered or "exposed" area, so the bond does not appear to be a bond between a cover and the body. Page 9, line 31, seems to suggest that a "disbondment" is any region were there has been some level of "machining of a recess" (p. 9, line 31); but page 3, lines 13-15, seems to suggest that disbondment is where a gap exists (or is formed) between a coating and substrate body. Again, what does "coating disbondment geometry" mean?

As to claim 9, this claim suggests that the "coating disbondment" can be using a coating or sleeve, yet p. 9 suggests that a coating disbondment is formed by "machining a recess" (p. 9, line 31) which occurs well prior to any coating or sleeve attachment.

What is a "coating disbondment"?

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 10/092,482

Art Unit: 2856

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-4, 6-10, 12-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Reiber.

Reiber teaches a coupon, including: copper pipe section partially coated with a lead-tin solder (col. 4, lines 12-20).

As to claims 1-4, 6, 7, 9, 10, 16, the solder is a type of coating whose lead/tin is "released into the water" (col. 4, line 32), suggestive of some level of unbonding. Also, the preamble of claim 1 is directed to intended usage, so no weight was give to that preamble.

As to claim 8, Reiber uses a "plastic" (col. 3, line 55) sleeve that is attached to remaining structure.

As to claims 12-15, Reiber refers (col. 6, lines 12-15) measuring changes by the galvanic activity in the fluid.

As to claim 17, Reiber locates the copper pipe section near another pipe section 14.

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Carpenter places coupons within a pipe.

Donohue teaches cathodic protection measurement.

Application/Control Number: 10/092,482

Art Unit: 2856

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert R. Raevis whose telephone number is 703-305-4919. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 6:30am to 4:00pm. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-308-7722.

Rarre

RAEUI)

Au2856